

**This assessment review was compiled by our students and is intended to be used as a guide in assisting clinicians. We encourage you to review the evaluations and assessments for yourself to guarantee the most accurate and updated information.*

I. General Information

Title of the test: Community Integration Measure (CIM)

Author: Mary Ann McColl, PhD; Diane Davies, MSc; Peter Carlson, PhD; Jane Johnston, BS, BScN; Patricia Minnes, PhD

Publisher: Unknown

Time required to administer: 5 minutes

Cost of the Test: Free to download and copy the PDF file <https://www.maa.nsw.gov.au/default.aspx?MenuID=376#174>

II. Description of Test

Type/Purpose of Test:

The CIM is a 10-Item checklist designed to assess community integration from the client's own perspective of belonging and participating.

Population: Developed for people with brain injury, but used successfully with other rehabilitation populations.

Focus of measurement:

Organic systems Abilities Participation/life habits Environmental Factors

III. Practical Administration

Ease of Administration: Simple, can be self administered or completed via an interview (phone or face to face).

Clarity of Directions: Directions are minimal and clear.

Scoring Procedures:

For each of the 10 items on the checklist, there are 5 response options (5= always agree, 1 = always disagree). Scores for each item are summed, giving a total score between 10 and 50. A total score of 50 represents a high level of community integration.

Examiner Qualification & Training –

No training or qualifications

IV. Technical Considerations

Standardization: Norms Criterion Referenced Other _____

Reliability: Internal Consistency: Cronbach's alpha=0.87

Validity:

- Content Validity- Based on empirically derived model; uses client-centered language for items on community integration.
- Criterion Validity- Correlates significantly with CIQ, $r=0.32$ ($p<0.05$)
- Construct Validity- Discriminates between disabled and able-bodied samples ($t=5.5$; $p<0.006$).

Manual: ___ Excellent ___ Adequate ___ Poor X No manual

What is (are) the setting/s that you would anticipate using this assessment?

Outpatient or community-based agency

Summary of strengths and weaknesses:**Weakness:**

- Not as well known as the CIQ , and potentially confused with it due to similarities in names.

Strength:

- Wording of questions taken from qualitative research makes language and ideas very accessible
- Client-centered
- Only takes approximately 5 minutes to complete

References:

Asher, I.E. (Ed). (2007). *Occupational therapy assessment tools: An annotated index* (3rd ed.). Bethesda, MD: AOTA Press.
Law, M., Baum, C., & Dunn, W. (Ed). (2005). *Measuring occupational performance: Supporting best practice in occupational therapy* (2nd ed.). Thorofare, NJ: SLACK Incorporated.