*This assessment review was compiled by our students and is intended to be used as a guide in assisting clinicians. We encourage you to review the evaluations and assessments for yourself to guarantee the most accurate and updated information.

I. General Information

Title of the test: Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota (CAM)

Author: Ruth A. Rustad, OTR; Terry L. DeGroot, OTR; Margaret L. Jungkunz, OTR; Karen S. Freeberg, OTR; Laureen G. Borowick, OTR; and Ann M. Wanttie, OTR

Publisher: Therapy Skill Builders: San Antonio, TX (1993)

Time required to administer: Either one 40-minute session, or two 20-minute sessions

Cost of the Test: \$145.26 from http://pearsonassess.com. Includes Examiner's Manual, 8 Test Cards, 25 Score Booklets, in a vinyl carrying case. Objects for the subtest that must be purchased separately are a clock with a second hand, pencil, blank paper, tape, coins, 1" cubes, 12" ruler, scissors, and duplicate sets of common objects (nickel, key, pen, toothbrush, large paper clip, comb, rubber band).

II. Description of Test

Type/Purpose of Test: The CAM is a screening tool that assesses a wide range of cognitive skills. It covers a variety of cognitive skills which are organized into a hierarchy from simple to complex. In order to perform higher level cognitive functions, the more basic functions must first be achieved. The hierarchy is divided into four areas: store of knowledge, manipulation of old knowledge, social awareness and judgment, and abstract thinking. The specific subtests are attention span, memory /orientation, visual neglect, following directions, immediate memory, temporal awareness, matching, object identification, visual memory and sequencing, recall/recognition, auditory memory and sequencing, simple money skills, simple math skills, foresight and planning, safety and judgment, concrete problem solving, and abstract reasoning. The assessment was designed by OTs, so functional tasks and outcomes are emphasized throughout the test.

Population: This test is designed to be used for adult clients who have cognitive impairments due to a CVA or a TBI (Level IV through Level VII on the Rancho Los Amigos Scale of Cognitive Functioning).

Focus of measurement:				
Organic systems	_X_ Abilities	Participation/life habits	Environmental Factors	

III. Practical Administration

Ease of Administration: The CAM has standardized administration procedures. The subtests must be given in the order shown because of the hierarchical nature of the skills being tested. The directions are written in the examiner's guide and on each subtest sheet. They must be administered exactly with no deviation. The administration is simple and straightforward, but requires preparation to make copies of worksheets and to become familiar with the directions in order to give them without variation.

Clarity of Directions: Each subtest has specific directions on the purpose, materials, administration procedures, test instructions, scoring, and areas to rule out. The directions are clear and easy to follow, and can be found in both the examiner's guide and the quick reference subtest sheets. The verbal directions that must be given exactly are bolded for easy reference. Also included in the examiner's guide are guidelines for administering the test (pp. 14-15), such as "Do not give the individual nonverbal cues unless instructed to do so."

Scoring Procedures: The CAM comes with a Score Booklet. Scores are recorded as either 3= mild to no impairment, 2=moderate impairment, 1=severe impairment, or 2=intact, 1=impaired. Each subtest gives the specific criteria for a given score.

Examiner Qualification & Training: The CAM is an assessment that should be administered by occupational therapists because they have a knowledge base of cognition and are the members of the treatment team that usually perform cognitive evaluations. In addition, the examiner needs to become familiar with the administration of the CAM because it must be given in a specific manner.

IV. Technical Considerations					
Standardization: _X_ Norms Cr	riterion Referenced	Other			
Reliability: Test-Retest, Internal Consiste	ency, Iner-rater				
Validity: Concurrent, Construct					
Manual: Excellent					

What is (are) the setting/s that you would anticipate using this assessment?

This assessment can be used in any adult setting where occupational therapists would need to assess cognition in clients with CVA or TBI. Some examples of settings include hospitals, acute settings, outpatient rehab, inpatient rehab, community settings, SNFs, and private practice.

Summary of strengths and weaknesses:

Strengths	Weaknesses	
Developed by occupational therapistsFunctional based activities	Requires items not included in the kit that need to be gathered and/or purchased	
Theoretical basis for the hierarchical nature of the cognitive skills	Worksheets have to be copied for each administration	
 Assesses a vast amount of cognitive skills 	Only to be used in clients with CVA or TBI (not psychological diagnoses)	
Development is backed by research	Standardized administration may be	
 Validity and reliability are well established 	difficult with cognitive clients and may seem rote.	
Relatively inexpensive for the kit		
 Items needed for the subtests are common items that are also inexpensive 		
 Instructions for administration can be found in both the examiner's guide and on the subtest sheets 		